# YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

BEN T. CASTLE
SHELDON N. SANDLER
RICHARD A. LEVINE
RICHARD A. LEVINE
RICHARD A. ZAPPA
FREDERICK W. IOBST
RICHARD H. MORSE
DAVID C. MCBRIDE
JOSEPH M. NICHOLSON
CRAIGA. KARSNITZ
BARRY M. WILLOUGHBY
JOSY W. INGERSOLL
ANTHONY G. FLYNN
JEROME K. GROSSMAN
EUGENE A. DIPRINZIO
JAMES L. PATTON, JR.
ROBERT L. THOMAS
WILLIAM D. JOHNSTON
TIMOTHY J. SNYDER
BRUCE L. SIL VERSTEIN
WILLIAM W. BOWSER
LARRY J. TARABICOS
RICHARD A. DILIBERTO, JR.
MELANIE K. SHARP
CASSANDRA F. ROBERTS
RICHARD J. A. POPPER
TERESA A. CHEEK

JANET Z. CHARLTON
ROBERT S. BRADY
JOEL A. WAITE
BRENT C. SHAFFER
DANIEL P. JOHNSON
CRAIG D. GREAR
TIMOTHY JAY HOUSEAL
MARTIN S. LESSNER
PAULINE K. MORGAN
C. BARR FLINN
NATALIE WOLF
LISA B. GOODMAN
JOHN W. SHAW
JAMES P. HUGHES, JR.
EDWIN J. HARRON
MICHAEL R. NESTOR
MAUREEN D. LUKE
ROLIN P. BISSELL
SCOTT A. HOLT
JOHN T. DORSEY
M. BLAKE CLEARY
CHRISTIAN DOUGLAS WRIGHT
DANIELLE GIBBS
JOHN J. PASCHETTO
NORMAN
POWELL
ELENA C. NORMAN

THE BRANDYWINE BUILDING 1000 WEST STREET, 17TH FLOOR WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801

P.O. Box 391 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391

(302) 571-6600 (800) 253-2234 (DE ONLY) FAX: (302) 571-1253

110 West Pine Street
P.O. Box 594
GEORGETOWN, DELAWARE 19947
(302) 856-3571
(800) 255-2234 (DE ONLY)
FAX: (302) 856-9338

WWW.YOUNGCONAWAY.COM

DIRECT DIAL: (302)571-6681 DIRECT FAX: (302)576-3333 msharp@ycst.com

December 13, 2007

IOSEPH M BARRY RYAN M. BARTLEY SEAN M. BEACH SANJAY BHATNAGAR DONALD J. BOWMAN, JR. MICHELE SHERRETTA BUDICAK JEFFREY T. CASTELLANO DOUGLAS T. COATS (MD ONLY) KARA HAMMOND COYLE KRISTEN SALVATORE DEPALMA MARGARET M. DIBIANCA MARY F. DUGAN ERIN EDWARDS KENNETH J. ENOS KERRIANNE MARIE FAY IAN S. FREDERICKS JAMES J. GALLAGHER WILLIAM E. GAMGORT SEAN T. GREECHER NATHAN D. GROW STEPHANIE I. HANSEN JAMES L. HIGGINS PATRICK A. JACKSON DAWN M. JONES KAREN E. KELLER JENNIFER M. KINKUS EDWARD J. KOSMOWSKI

SPECIAL COUNSEL JOHN D. MCLAUGHLIN, JR. KAREN L. PASCALE PATRICIA A. WIDDOSS EVANGELOS KOSTOULAS
JOHN C. KUFFEL
TIMOTHY E. LENGKEEK
ANDREW A. LUNDGREN
MATTHEW B. LUNN
ADRIA B. MARTINELLI
KATHALEEN MCCORMICK
MICHAEL W. MCDERMOTT
TAMMY L. MERCER
MARIBETH L. MINELLA
EDMON L. MORTON
D. FON MUTTAMARA-WALKER
JENNIFER R. NOEL
ADAM W. POFF
ROBERT F. POPPITI JR.
SETH J. REIDENBERG
SARA BETH A. REYBURN
CHERYL A. SANTANIELLO
MONTÉ T. SQUIRE
MICHAEL P. STAFFORD
CHAD S.C. STOVER
RICHARD J. THOMAS
JOHN E. TRACEY
TRAVIS N. TURNER
MARGARET B. WHITEMAN
SHARON M. ZIEG

SENIOR COUNSEL CURTIS J. CROWTHER

OF COUNSEL BRUCE M. STARGATT STUART B. YOUNG EDWARD B. MAXWELL, 2ND

#### BY E-FILE

The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr. United States District Court, District of Delaware 844 North King Street Wilmington, DE 19801

Re:

NICE Systems, Inc. and NICE Systems, Ltd. v. Witness Systems, Inc.

C.A. No. 06-311 (JJF)

Dear Judge Farnan:

We write in response to the letter to the Court from William J. Marsden Jr., Esq. dated December 12, 2007.

### Narrowing of the Case for Trial

As we informed the Court yesterday, NICE further narrowed its case to 15 claims and 6 patents. NICE has informed Witness this morning that it has further limited the claims it will assert to 13 claims. As set forth more fully in my letter dated December 12, 2007 to the Court, Witness' overly broad invalidity contentions and excessive number of prior art references prevents NICE from narrowing its case further without prejudice.

With regard to the number of products at issue in the case, NICE disagrees with Witness that there are 76 accused products. NICE has only accused 5 core recording products sold by Witness of infringement. As Witness made clear to the Court in its briefing in support of its transfer motion on June 29, 2006 (see D.I. 15), the five core recording products are Contactstore, Contactstore for IP, Balance, Contactstore for Communications Manager and Ouality for Communications Manager. From the standpoint of the asserted claims, each of these

# YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr. December 13, 2007 Page 2

core products share the same or very similar components and technology with the only difference being its branding. Thus, the number of accused products are a realistic number for presentation to a jury.

### **Schedule for Expert Reports**

Witness argues that it should have more time to respond to NICE's infringement report because it "must complete two reports (invalidity and noninfringement) during its time period." Witness' argument is flawed in several respects.

First, Witness has had several months to prepare its invalidity expert report. Most telling is that Witness has very recently filed reexamination requests for all of the patents-in-suit which presumably present its best invalidity arguments to the Patent and Trademark Office on every claim of the patents-in-suit. Therefore, Witness does not need time to complete its invalidity report.

Second, Witness has two infringement experts which according to Witness' counsel will each be working on the infringement issues related to three patents-in-suit. Thus, each of Witness' experts will only be writing reports on half of the infringement issues in the case. NICE, on the other hand, has one expert to address all of Witness' invalidity positions on all of the patents-in-suit.

In sum, there is no justification for Witness to have more time to respond to NICE's infringement expert report than NICE has to respond to Witness' invalidity report.

#### **Schedule for Expert Depositions**

According to Witness' counsel, its three expert witnesses are available for deposition only after January 7, 2007, the date the parties will file their proposed pretrial order with the Court. Given that the Court has scheduled the pretrial conference on January 9, 2007, NICE believes that expert depositions should be completed on or before January 7, 2007. Given that the January 14, 2008 trial and related obligations have been set for over a year, NICE's experts are prepared to shorten and/or cancel planned travel with family and work around other important personal matters to be available to complete expert discovery on or before January 7, 2007. Witness not only purports that *all* of its experts are not available prior to January 7, 2007 for deposition due to holiday travel but that two of the expert depositions must take place in *San Francisco and Indiana* when the parties' counsel should be in Delaware focused on preparing for trial. NICE believes that all of the experts in the case should make themselves available to complete expert discovery on or before January 7, 2007 and in more convenient locations.

DB02:6436659.1 065251.1001

NICE recently learned that Witness filed all of these reexamination requests within the past several weeks. Witness has not provided NICE's litigation counsel with copies of the reexamination requests.

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

The Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.

December 13, 2007

Page 3

Counsel is available should the Court have any questions.

Respectfully,

Melanie K. Sharp (MTA #4764)

Melanie K. Sharp (No. 2501)

MKS:mts

cc: Monté T. Squire, Esq.

Scott Lindvall, Esq.

Clerk of the Court (by Hand Delivery)

William J. Marsden Jr., Esquire (by Email)

065251.1001